



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/705,915

11/13/2003

Hye Jeong Jeon

24286/81451

7327

37803

7590

03/28/2007

SIDLEY AUSTIN BROWN & WOOD LLP  
555 CALIFORNIA STREET  
SUITE 2000  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104-1715

EXAMINER

DEBROW, JAMES J

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2176

SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
--	-----------	---------------

3 MONTHS

03/28/2007

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	Application No. 10/705,915	Applicant(s) JEON ET AL.	
	Examiner James J. Debrow	Art Unit 2176	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 January 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 26, 28-56 and 58-86 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 26, 28-56 and 58-86 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is responsive to communications: RCE filed 17 Jan 2007.
2. Claims 26, 28-56 and 58-86 are pending. Claims 26, 36, 46, 47, 57, 58, 69, 70 and 81 are independent claims.

### ***Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114***

3. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 17 Jan 2007 has been entered.

### ***Applicant's Response***

4. In Applicant's response dated 17 Jan. 2007, Applicant amended claims 33, 43, 55, 66 and 78; added new claims 81-86; argued against all objections and rejection previously set forth in previous Office Action.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2176

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. **Claims 26, 28-56 and 58-86 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Azami et al. (Pub. No.: 2003/0009472 A1; Filing Date: Jul. 9, 2001)(hereinafter "Azami") in view of Reed et al. (Patent No.: 6,088,717; Filed Aug. 31, 1998) (hereinafter 'Reed').**

**In regards to independent claim 26, Azami disclose *a document management system, comprising:***

*a document storage device configured to store at least one XML-electronic document describing metadata related to a broadcasting program and comprising an upper element and a lower element* (0004-0008; 0087-0092; Fig. 1; Fig. 25; Azami discloses a MPEG-7 description document which uses XML. The MPEG-7 description is divided into upper-rank structured metadata and lower-rank structure metadata respectively. Azami further discloses division, store and transmission procedures of the audio-visual contents on a channel of digital television broadcast, which includes a computer which operates in accordance with a control program.).

*a document receiving device coupled to the document storage device* (0004-0008; 0087-0092; Fig. 1; Fig. 25; Azami discloses receiving access units from the store system or the transmission system. Therefore Azami discloses a document receiving device coupled to the document storing device.).

*Azami does not disclose expressly a version value of the versions of the XML electronic document, wherein date information and time information of contents of the XML electronic document are used as the version value.*

However, Reed teaches a version value of the versions of the XML electronic document, wherein date information and time information of contents of the XML electronic document are used as the version value (col.8, lines 12-14; col. 20, lines 17-29; col. 30, lines 52-55; col. 59, lines 47-67; Reed teaches multiple versions of objects instances may be maintained in the database so that the user can revert to previous data. Reed teaches a version value that is used to manage different versions of communication objects. The communication objects contain a combination of data, metadata and instructions. The version value is used to coordinate updates each time an element within the communication object is changed. The version value is determined by the date and time of the most recent existing communication object version. Thus, Reed teaches/suggests using the date information and time information of the document contents as the version value. It has been established and is well known to one of ordinary skill in the art that date/time stamps are typically used to distinguish different versions of particular elements, objects, documents, etc.).

Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine Azami with Reed for the benefit of utilizing a version

value is to coordinate updates within an object/document each time an element within the object/document is changed (col. 20, lines 24-25).

**In regards to dependent claim 28**, Azami discloses structured metadata file description of a tree structured is separated or divided at an arbitrary position into upper-rank structure metadata and lower-rank structured metadata. Azami further discloses the upper-rank structure metadata and lower-rank structured metadata are connected and integrated into the original structured metadata (0087-0089).

Azami does not disclose expressly *the document management system of claim 26, wherein a lower element version value is updated when content of the lower element of the XML electronic document is changed, and wherein the updated lower element version value is used as a corresponding upper element version value.*

However, Reed teaches *the document management system of claim 26, wherein a lower element version value is updated when content of the lower element of the XML electronic document is changed, and wherein the updated lower element version value is used as a corresponding upper element version value* (col. 20, lines 17-29; The version value is used to coordinate updates each time an element within the communication object is changed. Thus, when an element is updated/changed, the version value changes.).

Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine Azami with Reed for the benefit of utilizing a version value is to coordinate updates within an object/document each time an element within the object/document is changed (col. 20, lines 24-25).

**In regards to dependent claim 29**, Azami does not disclose expressly *the document management system of claim 26, wherein each element version value includes date and time information according to when said contents of the corresponding element was updated.*

However, Reed teaches *each element version value includes date and time information according to when said contents of the corresponding element was updated* (col.8, lines 12-14; col. 20, lines 17-29; The version value is used to coordinate updates each time an element within the communication object is changed. The version value is determined by the date and time of the most recent existing communication object version. Thus, Reed teaches/suggests using the date information and time information of the document contents as the version value. It has been established and is well known to one of ordinary skill in the art that date/time stamps are typically used to distinguish different versions of particular elements, objects, documents, etc.).

Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine Azami with Reed for the benefit of utilizing a version

value is to coordinate updates within an object/document each time an element within the object/document is changed (col. 20, lines 24-25).

**In regards to dependent claim 30**, Azami discloses *the document management system of claim 26, wherein the document receiving device is configured to request the XML documents (0004-0008; 0087-0092; Fig. 1; Fig. 25; Azami discloses receiving access units from the store system or the transmission system.)*.

**In regards to dependent claim 31**, Azami does not disclose expressly *the document management system of claim 29, wherein each element version value includes date and time information when contents of the corresponding element was changed*.

However, Reed teaches *each element version value includes date and time information when contents of the corresponding element was changed* (col.8, lines 12-14; col. 20, lines 17-29; The version value is used to coordinate updates each time an element within the communication object is changed. The version value is determined by the date and time of the most recent existing communication object version. Thus, Reed teaches/suggests using the date information and time information of the document contents as the version value. It has been established and is well known to one of ordinary skill in the art that date/time stamps are typically used to distinguish different versions of particular elements, objects, documents, etc.).

Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine Azami with Reed for the benefit of utilizing a version value is to coordinate updates within an object/document each time an element within the object/document is changed (col. 20, lines 24-25).

**In regards to dependent claim 32,** Azami discloses *the document management system of claim 28, wherein a type of the content of the lower element is included in the upper element version value* (0089; 0092; Azami discloses the lower-ranks structure metadata and the upper-ranked structured metadata are integrated into the original structured metadata. Thus the type of the content of the lower element is included in the upper element version value.).

**In regards to dependent claim 33,** Azami discloses *the document management system of claim 26, wherein version information of said contents is defined by a syntax of said electronic document* (0004-0006; 0093).

**In regards to dependent claim 34,** Azami discloses *the document management system of claim 33, wherein said syntax is XML schema* (0004-0006; Azami discloses an XML schema.).

**In regards to dependent claim 35,** Azami discloses *the document management system of claim 34, wherein said contents includes at least one number selected from*

Art Unit: 2176

*the group of title, synopsis, review, and casting of said broadcasting program (0199;*  
Azami discloses structured metadata representing an electronic program guide including, which includes broadcasting programs titles, broadcasting dates, broadcasting channels and broadcasting programs outlines.).

**In regards to independent claim 36,** Azami discloses *for an electronic document describing metadata related to a broadcasting program and having a plurality of elements, wherein each element is based on XML, a method for updating one of the elements stored in a client, the method comprising (0004-0008; 0097;* Azami discloses generally, there is a plurality of lower-ranked MPEG-7 description to be connected with an upper-ranked MPEG description stored in the Description of an access unit.):

*requesting an updated version of said element of the electronic document describing metadata related to a broadcasting program (0188-0192;* Azami discloses a user-side computer can access arbitrary one among the MPEG-7 access units in the video database. The database holds a plurality of video content and MPEG-7 descriptions corresponding the video contents respectively. Using the broadest interpretation, the Examiner concludes that the requested video-segment information downloaded to the user-side computer is an updated version of the video-segment information/metadata.).

*updating said element stored in said client with said received updated version of said element and without replacing the electronic document in its entirety ( 0150, lines 1-4; 0203-0205* Azami discloses the upper-rank information and the lower-ranked

information can be transmitted separately. Therefore Azami teaches the concept of receiving updated version of said element and without replacing the electronic document in its entirety.).

Azami does not disclose expressly *receiving said updated version of said element, wherein said updated version is identified by an element identification including an element version, wherein said element version comprises date information and/or time information;*

Reed teaches *receiving said updated version of said element, wherein said updated version is identified by an element identification including an element version, wherein said element version comprises date information and/or time information* (col.8, lines 12-14; col. 20, lines 17-29; The version value is used to coordinate updates each time an element within the communication object is changed. The version value is determined by the date and time of the most recent existing communication object version. Thus, Reed teaches/suggests using the date information and time information of the document contents as the version value. It has been established and is well known to one of ordinary skill in the art that date/time stamps are typically used to distinguish different versions of particular elements, objects, documents, etc.).

Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine Azami with Reed for the benefit of utilizing a version value is to coordinate updates within an object/document each time an element within the object/document is changed (col. 20, lines 24-25).

**In regards to dependent claim 37**, Azami does not disclose expressly *the method of claim 36; wherein said element version includes date and time information according to when contents of the element were updated.*

Reed teaches *element version includes date and time information according to when contents of the element were updated* (col.8, lines 12-14; col. 20, lines 17-29; The version value is used to coordinate updates each time an element within the communication object is changed. The version value is determined by the date and time of the most recent existing communication object version. Thus, Reed teaches/suggests using the date information and time information of the document contents as the version value. It has been established and is well known to one of ordinary skill in the art that date/time stamps are typically used to distinguish different versions of particular elements, objects, documents, etc.).

Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine Azami with Reed for the benefit of utilizing a version value is to coordinate updates within an object/document each time an element within the object/document is changed (col. 20, lines 24-25).

**In regards to dependent claim 38**, Azami does not disclose expressly *the method of claim 37, wherein said element version and time information according to when said contents of the element were changed.*

Reed teaches *element version and time information according to when said*

*contents of the element were changed (col.8, lines 12-14; col. 20, lines 17-29; The version value is used to coordinate updates each time an element within the communication object is changed. The version value is determined by the date and time of the most recent existing communication object version. Thus, Reed teaches/suggests using the date information and time information of the document contents as the version value. It has been established and is well known to one of ordinary skill in the art that date/time stamps are typically used to distinguish different versions of particular elements, objects, documents, etc.).*

Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine Azami with Reed for the benefit of utilizing a version value is to coordinate updates within an object/document each time an element within the object/document is changed (col. 20, lines 24-25).

**In regards to dependent claim 39**, Azami does not expressly disclose *the method of claim 36, wherein said requesting comprises transmitting a current version of said element, and wherein said element version of said received updated version is later than an element version of said current version.*

However, Reed teaches *transmitting a current version of said element, and wherein said element version of said received updated version is later than an element version of said current version (col.8, lines 12-14; col. 20, lines 17-29; The version value is used to coordinate updates each time an element within the communication object is changed. The version value is determined by the date and time of the most recent*

existing communication object version. It has been established and is well known in the art that an updated element version is typically later than an element version of the current version).

Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine Azami with Reed for the benefit of utilizing a version value is to coordinate updates within an object/document each time an element within the object/document is changed (col. 20, lines 24-25).

**In regards to dependent claim 40**, Azami discloses *the method of claim 36, wherein said element comprises an upper structure and a lower structure in hierarchical arrangement, wherein when said lower structure of said element is changed, a version value of the lower structure is updated and the updated version value is reflected in a version value of said upper structure* (0089; 0092; Azami discloses the lower-ranks structure metadata and the upper-ranked structured metadata are integrated into the original structured metadata, thus the lower-ranked structure updated version value is reflected in a version value of said upper structure.).

**In regards to dependent claim 41**, Azami discloses *the method of claim 40, wherein a largest value of the version values of the lower structures is used as the version value of the upper structure* (0089; 0092; Azami discloses the lower-ranks structure metadata and the upper-ranked structured metadata are integrated into the original structured metadata. Thus the type of the content of the lower element is

included in the upper element version value, as well as the largest value of the version values of the lower structures).

**In regards to dependent claim 42,** Azami discloses *the method of claim 41, wherein a type of the updated lower structure is included in the version value of the upper structure* (0089; 0092; Azami discloses the lower-ranks structure metadata and the upper-ranked structured metadata are integrated into the original structured metadata. Thus the type of the content of the lower element is included in the upper element version value.).

**In regards to dependent claim 43,** Azami discloses *the method of claim 37, wherein said element version of said contents is defined by a syntax of said electronic document* (0004-0006; 0093).

**In regards to dependent claim 44,** Azami discloses *the method of claim 43, wherein said syntax is XML schema* (0004-0006; Azami discloses an XML schema.).

**In regards to dependent claim 45,** Azami discloses *the method of claim 44, wherein said contents includes at least one member selected from the group of title, synopsis, review, and casting of the broadcasting program* (0199; Azami discloses structured metadata representing an electronic program guide including, which includes broadcasting programs titles, broadcasting dates, broadcasting channels and

broadcasting programs outlines.).

**In regards to independent claim 46**, Azami discloses *for an electronic document describing metadata related to a television broadcasting program and having a plurality of elements, wherein each element is based on XML, a method for updating one of the elements stored in a client, the method comprising:*

*requesting from a provider an updated version of said element of the electronic document describing metadata related to a television broadcasting program* (0188-0192; Azami discloses a user-side computer can access arbitrary one among the MPEG-7 access units in the video database. The database holds a plurality of video content and MPEG-7 descriptions corresponding the video contents respectively. Using the broadest interpretation, the Examiner concludes that the requested video-segment information downloaded to the user-side computer is an updated version of the video-segment information/metadata.).

*updating said element stored in said client with a version later than a version of said element stored in said client and without replacing the electronic document in its entirety* ( 0150, lines 1-4; 0203-0205 Azami discloses the upper-rank information and the lower-ranked information can be transmitted separately. Therefore Azami teaches the concept of receiving updated version of said element and without replacing the electronic document in its entirety).

Azami does not disclose expressly *wherein said later version is identified by an element identification including an element version from said provider, wherein said element version comprises date information and/or time information.*

Reed teaches *wherein said later version is identified by an element identification including an element version from said provider, wherein said element version comprises date information and/or time information* (col.8, lines 12-14; col. 20, lines 17-29; The version value is used to coordinate updates each time an element within the communication object is changed. The version value is determined by the date and time of the most recent existing communication object version. Thus, Reed teaches/suggests using the date information and time information of the document contents as the version value. It has been established and is well known to one of ordinary skill in the art that date/time stamps are typically used to distinguish different versions of particular elements, objects, documents, etc.).

Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine Azami with Reed for the benefit of utilizing a version value is to coordinate updates within an object/document each time an element within the object/document is changed (col. 20, lines 24-25).

**In regards to independent claim 47**, Azami discloses *for an electronic document describing metadata related to a broadcasting program and having a plurality of elements, wherein each element is based on XML, a method for processing a*

Art Unit: 2176

*response to a request for updating one of the elements stored in a client, the method comprising (0004-0008; 0097; Azami discloses generally, there is a plurality of lower-ranked MPEG-7 description to be connected with an upper-ranked MPEG description stored in the Description of an access unit.):*

*updating said element stored in said client with an updated version of said element and without replacing the electronic document in its entirety( 0150, lines 1-4; 0203-0205 Azami discloses the upper-rank information and the lower-ranked information can be transmitted separately. Therefore Azami teaches the concept of receiving updated version of said element and without replacing the electronic document in its entirety).*

*Azami does not disclose expressly wherein said updated version is identified by an element identification including an element version, wherein said element version comprises date information and/or time information.*

*Reed teaches wherein said updated version is identified by an element identification including an element version, wherein said element version comprises date information and/or time information (col.8, lines 12-14; col. 20, lines 17-29; The version value is used to coordinate updates each time an element within the communication object is changed. The version value is determined by the date and time of the most recent existing communication object version. Thus, Reed teaches/suggests using the date information and time information of the document contents as the version value. It has been established and is well known to one of ordinary skill in the art that*

date/time stamps are typically used to distinguish different versions of particular elements, objects, documents, etc.).

Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine Azami with Reed for the benefit of utilizing a version value is to coordinate updates within an object/document each time an element within the object/document is changed (col. 20, lines 24-25).

**In regards to dependent claim 48**, Azami does not disclose expressly *the method of claim 47, comprising receiving said updated version of said element identified by said element identification and said element version from a provider.*

Reed teaches *receiving said updated version of said element identified by said element identification and said element version from a provider* (col.8, lines 12-14; col. 20, lines 17-29; The version value is used to coordinate updates each time an element within the communication object is changed. The version value is determined by the date and time of the most recent existing communication object version. Thus, Reed teaches/suggests using the date information and time information of the document contents as the version value. It has been established and is well known to one of ordinary skill in the art that date/time stamps are typically used to distinguish different versions of particular elements, objects, documents, etc.).

Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine Azami with Reed for the benefit of utilizing a version

Art Unit: 2176

value is to coordinate updates within an object/document each time an element within the object/document is changed (col. 20, lines 24-25).

**In regards to dependent claim 49**, Azami does not disclose expressly *the method of claim 47, wherein said element version includes date and time information according to when said metadata of the element were updated.*

Reed teaches *wherein said element version includes date and time information according to when said metadata of the element were updated* (col.8, lines 12-14; col. 20, lines 17-29; The version value is used to coordinate updates each time an element within the communication object is changed. The version value is determined by the date and time of the most recent existing communication object version. Thus, Reed teaches/suggests using the date information and time information of the document contents as the version value. It has been established and is well known to one of ordinary skill in the art that date/time stamps are typically used to distinguish different versions of particular elements, objects, documents, etc.).

Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine Azami with Reed for the benefit of utilizing a version value is to coordinate updates within an object/document each time an element within the object/document is changed (col. 20, lines 24-25).

**In regards to dependent claim 50**, Azami does not disclose expressly *the method of claim 49, wherein said element version includes date and time information according to when said metadata of the element were changed.*

Reed teaches *wherein said element version includes date and time information according to when said metadata of the element were changed* (col.8, lines 12-14; col. 20, lines 17-29; The version value is used to coordinate updates each time an element within the communication object is changed. The version value is determined by the date and time of the most recent existing communication object version. Thus, Reed teaches/suggests using the date information and time information of the document contents as the version value. It has been established and is well known to one of ordinary skill in the art that date/time stamps are typically used to distinguish different versions of particular elements, objects, documents, etc.).

Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine Azami with Reed for the benefit of utilizing a version value is to coordinate updates within an object/document each time an element within the object/document is changed (col. 20, lines 24-25).

**In regards to dependent claim 51**, Azami does not disclose expressly *the method of claim 47, wherein said request comprises a selected version of said element, and wherein said received updated version of said element is later than said selected version.*

However, Reed *wherein said request comprises a selected version of said element, and wherein said received updated version of said element is later than said selected version* (col.8, lines 12-14; col. 20, lines 17-29; The version value is used to coordinate updates each time an element within the communication object is changed. The version value is determined by the date and time of the most recent existing communication object version. It has been established and is well known in the art that an updated element version is typically later than an element version of the current version).

Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine Azami with Reed for the benefit of utilizing a version value is to coordinate updates within an object/document each time an element within the object/document is changed (col. 20, lines 24-25).

**In regards to dependent claim 52,** Azami does not disclose expressly *the method of claim 47, wherein said element comprises an upper structure and a lower structure in hierarchical arrangement, wherein when said lower structure of said element is changed, a version value of the lower structure is updated and the updated version value is reflected in a version value of said upper structure.*

However, Reed *when said lower structure of said element is changed, a version value of the lower structure is updated and the updated version value is reflected in a version value of said upper structure* (col.8, lines 12-14; col. 20, lines 17-29; The version value is used to coordinate updates each time an element within the

communication object is changed. The version value is determined by the date and time of the most recent existing communication object version. It has been established and is well known in the art that an *updated element version is typically later than an element version of the current version*).

Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine Azami with Reed for the benefit of utilizing a version value is to coordinate updates within an object/document each time an element within the object/document is changed (col. 20, lines 24-25).

**In regards to dependent claim 53**, Azami discloses *the method of claim 52, wherein a largest value of the version values of the lower structures is used as the version value of the upper structure* (0089; 0092; Azami discloses the lower-ranks structure metadata and the upper-ranked structured metadata are integrated into the original structured metadata. Thus the type of the content of the lower element is included in the upper element version value, as well as the largest value of the version values of the lower structures.).

**In regards to dependent claim 54**, Azami discloses *the method of claim 53, wherein a type of the updated lower structure is included in the version value of the upper structure* (0089; 0092; Azami discloses the lower-ranks structure metadata and the upper-ranked structured metadata are integrated into the original structured

metadata. Thus the type of the content of the lower element is included in the upper element version value.).

**In regards to dependent claim 55**, Azami discloses *the method of claim 47, wherein said element version is defined by a syntax of said electronic document, and wherein said syntax is XML schema (0004-0006; Azami discloses an XML schema.)*.

**In regards to dependent claim 56**, Azami discloses *the method of claim 47, wherein said metadata includes at least one member selected from the group of title, synopsis, review, and casting of said broadcasting program (0199; Azami discloses structured metadata representing an electronic program guide including, which includes broadcasting programs titles, broadcasting dates, broadcasting channels and broadcasting programs outlines.)*.

**In regards to independent claim 58**, Azami discloses *for an electronic document describing metadata related to a broadcasting program and having a plurality of elements, wherein each element is based on XML, a method for providing an updated version of one of the elements, the method comprising:*

*receiving a request from a client for the updated version of said element of the electronic document describing metadata related to a broadcasting program (0188-0192; Azami discloses a user-side computer can access arbitrary one among the MPEG-7 access units in the video database. The database holds a plurality of video*

Art Unit: 2176

content and MPEG-7 descriptions corresponding the video contents respectively. Using the broadest interpretation, the Examiner concludes that the requested video-segment information downloaded to the user-side computer is an updated version of the video-segment information/metadata.).

*Azami does not disclose expressly determining whether a provider has a capability of handling said requests for the updated version; and supplying said updated version of said element in accordance with a determined result, wherein said updated version is identified by an element identification including an element version, wherein said element version comprises date information and/or time information.*

However, Reed teaches *determining whether a provider has a capability of handling said requests for the updated version* (col. 39, lines 20-44; col. 59, lines 51-66; Reed teaches referring to the date/time of the object to determine if there has been a change within the object. Reed also teaches the version value of the updated object is compared with the version of the most recent version stored in the database.).

*supplying said updated version of said element in accordance with a determined result, wherein said updated version is identified by an element identification including an element version, wherein said element version comprises date information and/or time information.*

Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine Azami with Reed for the benefit of utilizing a version value is to coordinate updates within an object/document each time an element within the object/document is changed (col. 20, lines 24-25).

**In regards to dependent claim 59**, Azami does not expressly disclose *the method of claim 58, wherein said request for said updated version of said element identifies said element using element identification and a current element version.*

Reed teaches *wherein said request for said updated version of said element identifies said element using element identification and a current element version* (col. 39, lines 20-44; Reed teaches the version value of the updated object is compared with the version of the most recent version stored in the database.).

Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine Azami with Reed for the benefit of utilizing a version value is to coordinate updates within an object/document each time an element within the object/document is changed (col. 20, lines 24-25).

**In regards to dependent claim 60**, Azami does not expressly disclose *the method of claim 58, comprising identifying a version of said element later than a requested version of said element in said provider as said updated version of said element.*

Reed teaches *comprising identifying a version of said element later than a requested version of said element in said provider as said updated version of said element* (col.8, lines 12-14; col. 20, lines 17-29; The version value is used to coordinate updates each time an element within the communication object is changed. The version value is determined by the date and time of the most recent existing communication object version. It has been established and is well known in the art that an *updated element version is typically later than an element version of the current version*).

Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine Azami with Reed for the benefit of utilizing a version value is to coordinate updates within an object/document each time an element within the object/document is changed (col. 20, lines 24-25).

**In regards to dependent claim 61,** Azami does not expressly disclose *the method of claim 58, wherein said element version includes date and time information according to when said metadata of the element were updated.*

Reed teaches *wherein said element version includes date and time information according to when said metadata of the element were updated* (col.8, lines 12-14; col. 20, lines 17-29; The version value is used to coordinate updates each time an element within the communication object is changed. The version value is determined by the date and time of the most recent existing communication object version. Thus, Reed teaches/suggests using the date information and time information of the document contents as the version value. It has been established and is well known to one of

ordinary skill in the art that date/time stamps are typically used to distinguish different versions of particular elements, objects, documents, etc.).

Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine Azami with Reed for the benefit of utilizing a version value is to coordinate updates within an object/document each time an element within the object/document is changed (col. 20, lines 24-25).

**In regards to dependent claim 62**, Azami does not expressly disclose *the method of claim 61, wherein said element version includes date and time information according to when said metadata of the element were changed.*

However, Reed teaches *wherein said element version includes date and time information according to when said metadata of the element were changed* (col.8, lines 12-14; col. 20, lines 17-29; The version value is used to coordinate updates each time an element within the communication object is changed. The version value is determined by the date and time of the most recent existing communication object version. It has been established and is well known in the art that an updated element version is typically later than an element version of the current version).

Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine Azami with Reed for the benefit of utilizing a version value is to coordinate updates within an object/document each time an element within the object/document is changed (col. 20, lines 24-25).

**In regards to dependent claim 63,** Azami discloses *the method of claim 58, wherein said element comprises an upper structure and a lower structure in hierarchical arrangement, wherein when a said lower structure of said element is changed, a version value of the lower structure is updated and the updated version value is reflected in a version value of said upper structure* (0089; 0092; Azami discloses the lower-ranks structure metadata and the upper-ranked structured metadata are integrated into the original structured metadata, thus the lower-ranked structure updated version value is reflected in a version value of said upper structure.).

**In regards to dependent claim 64,** Azami discloses *the method of claim 63, wherein a largest value of the version values of the lower structures is used as the version value of the upper structure* (0089; 0092; Azami discloses the lower-ranks structure metadata and the upper-ranked structured metadata are integrated into the original structured metadata. Thus the type of the content of the lower element is included in the upper element version value, as well as the largest value of the version values of the lower structures).

**In regards to dependent claim 65,** Azami discloses *the method of claim 64, wherein a type of the updated lower structure is included in the version value of the upper structure* (0089; 0092; Azami discloses the lower-ranks structure metadata and the upper-ranked structured metadata are integrated into the original structured

metadata. Thus the type of the content of the lower element is included in the upper element version value.).

**In regards to dependent claim 66**, Azami discloses *the method of claim 58, wherein said element version is defined by a syntax of said electronic document (0004-0006; 0093).*

**In regards to dependent claim 67**, Azami discloses *the method of claim 66, wherein said syntax is XML schema (0004-0006; Azami discloses an XML schema.).*

**In regards to dependent claim 68**, Azami discloses *the method of claim 67, wherein said metadata includes at least one member selected from the group of title, synopsis, review, and casting of the broadcasting program (0199; Azami discloses structured metadata representing an electronic program guide including, which includes broadcasting programs titles, broadcasting dates, broadcasting channels and broadcasting programs outlines.).*

**In regards to independent claim 69**, Azami discloses *for an electronic document describing metadata related to a broadcasting program and having a plurality of elements, wherein each element is based on XML, a method for replying to a request for updating one of the elements stored in a client, the method comprising:*

*supplying said client with an updated version of said element of the electronic document describing metadata related to a broadcasting program (0188-0192; Azami discloses a user-side computer can access arbitrary one among the MPEG-7 access units in the video database. The database holds a plurality of video content and MPEG-7 descriptions corresponding the video contents respectively. Using the broadest interpretation, the Examiner concludes that the requested video-segment information downloaded to the user-side computer is an updated version of the video-segment information/metadata.).*

*Azami does not disclose expressly wherein the updated version is identified by an element identification including an element version, wherein said element version comprises date information and/or time information.*

*Reed teaches wherein the updated version is identified by an element identification including an element version, wherein said element version comprises date information and/or time information (col.8, lines 12-14; col. 20, lines 17-29; The version value is used to coordinate updates each time an element within the communication object is changed. The version value is determined by the date and time of the most recent existing communication object version. Thus, Reed teaches/suggests using the date information and time information of the document contents as the version value. It has been established and is well known to one of ordinary skill in the art that*

date/time stamps are typically used to distinguish different versions of particular elements, objects, documents, etc.).

Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine Azami with Reed for the benefit of utilizing a version value to coordinate updates within an object/document each time an element within the object/document is changed (col. 20, lines 24-25).

**In regards to independent claim 70**, Azami discloses *for an electronic document describing metadata related to a television broadcasting program and having a plurality of elements, wherein each element is based on XML, a method for managing one of the elements stored in a Client, the method comprising* (0004-0008; 0087-0092; Fig. 1; Fig. 25; Azami discloses a MPEG-7 description document which uses XML. The MPEG-7 description is divided into upper-rank structured metadata and lower-rank structure metadata respectively. Azami further discloses division, store and transmission procedures of the audio-visual contents on a channel of digital television broadcast, which includes a computer which operates in accordance with a control program.):

Azami does not disclose expressly *version information comprises date information and/or time information*.

However, Reed teaches *version information comprises date information and/or time information* (col.8, lines 12-14; col. 20, lines 17-29; col. 30, lines 52-55; col. 59, lines 47-67; Reed teaches multiple versions of objects instances may be maintained in

Art Unit: 2176

the database so that the user can revert to previous data. Reed teaches a version value that is used to manage different versions of communication objects. The communication objects contain a combination of data, metadata and instructions. The version value is used to coordinate updates each time an element within the communication object is changed. The version value is determined by the date and time of the most recent existing communication object version. Thus, Reed teaches/suggests using the date information and time information of the document contents as the version value. It has been established and is well known to one of ordinary skill in the art that date/time stamps are typically used to distinguish different versions of particular elements, objects, documents, etc.).

**In regards to dependent claim 71, Azami does not disclose expressly *the method of claim 70, comprising transmitting updated versions of said element identified by said element information including at least said element version information.***

However, Reed teaches *transmitting updated versions of said element identified by said element information including at least said element version information* (col. 8, lines 12-14; col. 20, lines 17-29; The version value is used to coordinate updates each time an element within the communication object is changed. The version value is determined by the date and time of the most recent existing communication object version. It has been established and is well known in the art that an updated element version is typically later than an element version of the current version).

Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine Azami with Reed for the benefit of utilizing a version value is to coordinate updates within an object/document each time an element within the object/document is changed (col. 20, lines 24-25).

**In regards to dependent claim 72**, Azami does not disclose expressly *the method of claim 71, wherein each element version information includes date and time information according to when said metadata of said element were updated.*

Reed teaches *element version information includes date and time information according to when said metadata of said element were updated* (col.8, lines 12-14; col. 20, lines 17-29; The version value is used to coordinate updates each time an element within the communication object is changed. The version value is determined by the date and time of the most recent existing communication object version. Thus, Reed teaches/suggests using the date information and time information of the document contents as the version value. It has been established and is well known to one of ordinary skill in the art that date/time stamps are typically used to distinguish different versions of particular elements, objects, documents, etc.).

Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine Azami with Reed for the benefit of utilizing a version value is to coordinate updates within an object/document each time an element within the object/document is changed (col. 20, lines 24-25).

**In regards to dependent claim 73**, Azami does not disclose expressly *the method of claim 72, wherein each element version information includes date and time information according to when said metadata of the element were changed.*

Reed teaches *element version information includes date and time information according to when said metadata of the element were changed* (col.8, lines 12-14; col. 20, lines 17-29; The version value is used to coordinate updates each time an element within the communication object is changed. The version value is determined by the date and time of the most recent existing communication object version. Thus, Reed teaches/suggests using the date information and time information of the document contents as the version value. It has been established and is well known to one of ordinary skill in the art that date/time stamps are typically used to distinguish different versions of particular elements, objects, documents, etc.).

Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine Azami with Reed for the benefit of utilizing a version value is to coordinate updates within an object/document each time an element within the object/document is changed (col. 20, lines 24-25).

**In regards to dependent claim 74**, Azami does not disclose expressly *the method of claim 71, comprising receiving a request for an updated version of said element.* However Azami discloses a user-side computer can access arbitrary one among the MPEG-7 access units in the video database. The database holds a plurality of video content and MPEG-7 descriptions corresponding the video contents

respectively. Using the broadest interpretation, the Examiner concludes that the requested video-segment information downloaded to the user-side computer is an updated version of the video-segment information/metadata (0188-0192).

**In regards to dependent claim 75**, Azami does not disclose expressly *the method of claim 70, wherein said element comprises an upper structure and a lower structure in hierarchical arrangement, wherein when said lower structure of said element is changed, a version value of the lower structure is updated and the updated version value is reflected in a version value of said upper structure.*

However, Reed *when said lower structure of said element is changed, a version value of the lower structure is updated and the updated version value is reflected in a version value of said upper structure* (col.8, lines 12-14; col. 20, lines 17-29; The version value is used to coordinate updates each time an element within the communication object is changed. The version value is determined by the date and time of the most recent existing communication object version. It has been established and is well known in the art that an *updated element version is typically later than an element version of the current version*).

Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine Azami with Reed for the benefit of utilizing a version value is to coordinate updates within an object/document each time an element within the object/document is changed (col. 20, lines 24-25).

**In regards to dependent claim 76,** Azami disclose *the method of claim 75, wherein a largest value of the version values of the lower structures is used as the version value of the upper structure* (0089; 0092; Azami discloses the lower-ranks structure metadata and the upper-ranked structured metadata are integrated into the original structured metadata. Thus the type of the content of the lower element is included in the upper element version value, as well as the largest value of the version values of the lower structures.).

**In regards to dependent claim 77,** Azami disclose *the method of claim 76, wherein a type of the updated lower structure is included in the version value of the upper structure* (0089; 0092; Azami discloses the lower-ranks structure metadata and the upper-ranked structured metadata are integrated into the original structured metadata. Thus the type of the content of the lower element is included in the upper element version value.).

**In regards to dependent claim 78,** Azami disclose *the method of claim 70, wherein said element version information is defined by a syntax of said electronic document* (0004-0006; Azami discloses an XML schema.).

**In regards to dependent claim 79,** Azami disclose *the method of claim 78, wherein said syntax is XML schema* (0004-0006; Azami discloses an XML schema.).

**In regards to dependent claim 80,** Azami disclose *the method of claim 79, wherein said metadata includes at least one member selected from the group of title, synopsis, review, and casting of the television broadcasting program* (0199; Azami discloses structured metadata representing an electronic program guide including, which includes broadcasting programs titles, broadcasting dates, broadcasting channels and broadcasting programs outlines.).

**In regards to independent claim 81,** Azami disclose *a method for processing a first metadata stored in a client, wherein the first metadata is related to a broadcast program and is based on markup language, the method comprising* (0004-0008):

*Azami does not disclose expressly the requesting an updated version of the first metadata by the client; and receiving a final version and an identifier of the first metadata if the identifier of the first metadata is reused as an identifier of a second metadata and the requested updated version is a version prior to a deletion of the first metadata in a server.*

However, Reed *requesting an updated version of the first metadata by the client; and receiving a final version and an identifier of the first metadata if the identifier of the first metadata is reused as an identifier of a second metadata and the requested updated version is a version prior to a deletion of the first metadata in a server* (col. 30, lines 52-67; col. 39, line 12- col. 40, line 14; Reed teaches/suggests determining previous various of objects already stored in the database, thus determining identifiers.).

Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine Azami with Reed for the benefit of utilizing a version value is to coordinate updates within an object/document each time an element within the object/document is changed (col. 20, lines 24-25).

**In regards to dependent claim 82**, Azami does not disclose expressly *the method of claim 81, wherein the first metadata is stored in the client and the server.*

However, Reed *the first metadata is stored in the client and the server* (col. 8, lines 20-34; Reed teaches updating the transferred information in the consumer computer when the information in the provider computer has changed. Thus the first metadata is stored in the client and the server.).

Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine Azami with Reed for the benefit of utilizing a version value is to coordinate updates within an object/document each time an element within the object/document is changed (col. 20, lines 24-25).

**In regards to dependent claim 83**, Azami does not expressly disclose *the method of claim 81, wherein the version information includes at least one of date and time information.*

Reed teaches *version information includes at least one of date and time information* (col.8, lines 12-14; col. 20, lines 17-29; The version value is used to coordinate updates each time an element within the communication object is changed.

Art Unit: 2176

The version value is determined by the date and time of the most recent existing communication object version. Thus, Reed teaches/suggests using the date information and time information of the document contents as the version value. It has been established and is well known to one of ordinary skill in the art that date/time stamps are typically used to distinguish different versions of particular elements, objects, documents, etc.).

Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine Azami with Reed for the benefit of utilizing a version value is to coordinate updates within an object/document each time an element within the object/document is changed (col. 20, lines 24-25).

**In regards to dependent claim 84**, Azami discloses *the method of claim 81, wherein the broadcast program is a television broadcast program* (0004; 0008; 0203).

**In regards to dependent claim 85**, Azami discloses *the method of claim 81, wherein the metadata is defined by a syntax of an electronic document* (0004-0006).

**In regards to dependent claim 86**, Azami discloses *the method of claim 85, wherein the syntax is XML schema* (0004-0006; Azami discloses an XML schema.).

**Note**

Art Unit: 2176

7. It is noted that any citations to specific, pages, columns, lines, or figures in the prior art references and any interpretation of the reference should not be considered to be limiting in any way. A reference is relevant for all it contains and may be relied upon for all that it would have reasonably suggested to one having ordinary skill in the art. See, MPEP 2123.

### ***Response to Arguments***

8. Upon further consideration of the Azami reference, the Examiner has determined that Azami wasn't appropriately reviewed. Upon a more intense review of the Azami reference, the Examiner concludes that the Azami reference can be used as prior art in addressing a greater number of claim limitations of the claimed invention than previously perceived.

Furthermore, applicant argues the use of the additional references, Kim, Anderson and Baker, as mentioned in the previous objection. Applicant argues the Examiner's rejection constitutes *impermissible hindsight* in that references are *not even in the same technology area or field*. Therefore, Applicant argues *one of ordinary skill in the art would not turn to such diverse fields for teaching or suggestions*.

The Examiner does not agree with Applicant's argument in its entirety as the Kim, Anderson and Baker references were used as teaching reference to teach specific concepts.

However due to the Examiner's admitted mis-interpretation of the Azami reference, the Examiner had withdrawn the 35 USC 103 rejection of the previous office

Art Unit: 2176

action. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view Azami and Reed.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

9. In regards to Claim 28, Applicant argues the Examiners reason of the claim as being indefinite, as stated in previous office action, is not an appropriate basis for the rejection.

The Examiner disagrees.

However, upon further consideration in view of the Examiners gained knowledge concerning the terminology in question while researching prior art, the Examiner withdraws the 35 USC 112 rejection of Claim 28.

### ***Specification***

10. The Applicant argues the terms "lower element" and "upper element" are supported in the specification of the Application based on *Merriam-Webster's Collegiate Dictionary* definition of the term "element". While the Examiner does not argue *Merriam-Webster's Collegiate Dictionary* definition of the term "element", the Examiner does maintain that specification makes no mention of a "lower element", however the specification recites the term "lower structure". Applicant's request to withdraw the rejection is denied.

### ***Claim Objections***

Art Unit: 2176

11. Applicant's request to withdraw the objection to Claim 28 is denied based on the reason that the specification provides no support to the terms of "lower element" and "upper element".


### ***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James J. Debrow whose telephone number is 571-272-5768. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Herndon can be reached on 571-272-4136. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JAMES DEBROW  
EXAMINER  
ART UNIT 2176



**Doug Hutton**  
Primary Examiner  
Technology Center 2100